

Fuzzy Multiset Clustering for Metagame Analysis

by <u>Alexander Dockhorn</u>, Tony Schwensfeier, and Rudolf Kruse

Institute for Intelligent Cooperating Systems Department for Computer Science, Otto von Guericke University Magdeburg Universitätsplatz 2, 39106 Magdeburg, Germany

Email: {<u>alexander.dockhorn</u>, tony.schwensfeier, rudolf.kruse}@ovgu.de



Why Game Research?

Games as "simulations" of real world tasks

- quantifiable goal, varying difficulty, large data sets
- digital games are fully accessible to computers





Research Beyond Games

- see for example AlphaGo to AlphaFold
 - Deep Learning + effective search schemes
 - same algorithms are successful in completely different applications



T0954 / 6CVZ

T0965 / 6D2V



AlphaFold



Hearthstone – A collectible card game

- online collectible card game
 - millions of players world wide
 - more than 1000 cards

• two games in one:

two players play a single game each using a selfconstructed deck of 30 cards

whole community plays a meta-game about deck selection/construction





Hearthstone – Game Components and States



Slide 5/19, 10.09.2019



Hearthstone – The next challenge for AI

- Hearthstone AI competition (started in 2018)
 - More than 80 submissions by research teams from all over the world

• Challenges:

- partial observation
- dynamic metagame
- enormous deck space
- important card synergies
- new content every few months



[1] Dockhorn, A., & Mostaghim, S. (2019). Introducing the Hearthstone-AI Competition, 1–4. Retrieved from http://arxiv.org/abs/1906.04238



I. Random: play an action at random





- I. Random: play an action at random
- II. Greedy: rate each action or its outcome using a scoring function





- I. Random: play an action at random
- II. Greedy: rate each action or its outcome using a scoring function
- III. Search: optimize a sequence of actions instead





- I. Random: play an action at random
- II. Greedy: rate each action or its outcome using a scoring function
- **III. Search:** optimize a sequence of actions instead
- IV. MCTS: simulate the game till the end and use terminal states as scoring function





- I. Random: play an action at random
- II. Greedy: rate each action or its outcome using a scoring function
- **III.** Search: optimize a sequence of actions instead
- IV. MCTS: simulate the game till the end and use terminal states as scoring function



Problem: we cannot simulate beyond our own turn, since the cards of our opponent are unknown to us



InfoSet MCTS / Ensemble MCTS

- Predict Opponent's hand cards to simulate the opponent's turn
- Repeat this process and aggregate the result to get a likely estimate



[2] Dockhorn, A., Doell, C., Hewelt, M., & Kruse, R. (2017). A decision heuristic for Monte Carlo tree search doppelkopf agents. In 2017 IEEE Symposium Series on Computational Intelligence (SSCI) (pp. 1–8). IEEE

[3] Dockhorn, A., Frick, M., Akkaya, Ü., & Kruse, R. (2018). Predicting Opponent Moves for Improving Hearthstone AI. In J. Medina, M. Ojeda-Aciego, J. L. Verdegay, D. A. Pelta, I. P. Cabrera, B. Bouchon-Meunier, & R. R. Yager (Eds.), *17th International Conference on Information Processing and Management of Uncertainty in Knowledge-Based Systems, IPMU 2018* (pp. 621–632). Springer International Publishing.



The Metagame

- The **metagame** is defined by the decks players usually play.
- Clustered deck space, but some cards can appear across multiple clusters
 - **Question:** How can we describe and find these clusters?







The Meta-Game

Decks can be organized hierarchically

- low levels share a lot of cards
- higher levels share concepts
 - called "deck archetypes"





Alexander Dockhorn

Slide 10/19, 10.09.2019



Decks Analysis – Multiset of Cards

- Attributes of a deck:
 - contains 30 cards
 - can contain the same card multiple times (except legendaries)

• Therefore, we define a deck to be a multiset of cards:

$$M = \{C_M(x)/x : x \in X\}$$

$$C_M: X \to \mathbb{N} \qquad C_M(x_i) = n_i$$

- M the deck multiset
- X the set of cards
- x a card
- C_M the number of inclusions



Decks Analysis – Multiset of Cards

• Based on this we define union and intersection

 $C_{L\cup M}(x) = C_L(x) \lor C_M(x) \qquad C_{L\cap M}(x) = C_L(x) \land C_M(x)$

• Lets test this with a simple example:

$$D_1 = \{1/a, 1/b, 2/c, 1/d, 0/e, 2/f\}$$
$$D_2 = \{1/a, 1/b, 2/c, 0/d, 2/e, 1/f\}$$

$$D'_{D_1 \cup D_2} = \{1/a, 1/b, 2/c, 1/d, 2/e, 2/f\}$$

 $D_{D_1\cap D_2}'' = \{1/a, \ 1/b, \ 2/c, \ 0/d, \ 0/e, \ 1/f\}$



Decks Analysis – Fuzzy Multiset of Cards

- We redefine the deck to be a fuzzy multiset of cards
 - C_A becomes a multiset of membership degrees $\mu_A(x)$ $A = \{(x, 0.5), (x, 0.3), (y, 1), (y, 0.5), (y, 0.2)\}$
 - we sort and group the membership degrees according to $(\mu_A^1(x), \dots, \mu_A^p(x)), \qquad \mu_A^1(x) \ge \dots \ge \mu_A^p(x)$ $A = \{(0.5, 0.3)/x, \ (1, 0.5, 0.2)/y\}$ $C_A(x) \qquad C_A(y)$



Decks Analysis – Fuzzy Multiset of Cards

- Based on this we define union and intersection
 - $\mu_{A\cup B}^{j} = \mu_{A}^{j}(x) \lor \mu_{B}^{j}(x) \qquad \qquad \mu_{A\cap B}^{j} = \mu_{A}^{j}(x) \land \mu_{B}^{j}(x) \\ j = 1, 2, \dots, L(x; A, B) \qquad \qquad j = 1, 2, \dots, L(x; A, B)$
- Lets test this with a simple example:

$$A = \{ (0.5, 0.2)/x, (1.0, 0.5, 0.2)/y, (0.0, 0.0)/z \}$$
$$B = \{ (1.0, 0.0)/x, (0.7, 0.6, 0.0)/y, (0.9, 0.5)/z \}$$

 $A \cup B = \{ (1.0, \ 0.2)/x, \ (1.0, \ 0.6, \ 0.2)/y, \ (0.9, 0.5)/z \}$ $A \cap B = \{ (0.5)/x, \ (0.7, \ 0.5)/y \}$



Fuzzy Multiset Clustering

- We apply hierarchical clustering using the following distance functions
 - Euclidean distance for fuzzy multisets

$$d_{euclid}(A,B) = \left(\sum_{x \in X} \sum_{i=1}^{L(x;A,B)} \left(\mu_A^i(x) - \mu_B^i(x)\right)^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Jaccard distance for fuzzy multisets

$$d_{jaccard}(x,y) = 1 - \frac{|x \cap y|}{|x \cup y|} = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i} \min(x_i, y_i)}{\sum_{i} \max(x_i, y_i)}$$
$$d_{jaccard}(A,B) = 1 - \frac{\sum_{x \in X} \sum_{j=1}^{L(x;A,B)} \mu_{A \cap B}^j}{\sum_{x \in X} \sum_{j=1}^{L(x;A,B)} \mu_{A \cup B}^j}$$



Result of the Clustering Process

- We evaluated our clustering based on labeled player data
 - Clusters match the expert descriptions to a large degree...
 - ... and some may indicate labeling errors.
 - remaining question: what makes up a deck archetype?





Decks Analysis – Modelling Player Concepts

Core cards:

• cards that should be included in a certain deck type

Variant cards:

• optional or replacement cards

Deck archetype:

- representation of decks with a common theme
- Here, a centroid of decks in the same cluster:

$$\mu_{\langle \mathcal{C} \rangle}^k(x) = \frac{\sum_{M_i \in \mathcal{C}} \mu_{M_i}^k(x) \cdot C_{\mathcal{C}}(M_i)}{\sum_j C_{\mathcal{C}}(M_j)},$$

$$k = 1, \dots, p, \quad \forall x \in X$$





Conclusion

- Fuzzy clustering matches human labelling
- Allows us to model natural language concepts
- Sampling based on the fuzzy centroid yields higher accuracy than probabilistic approaches
 - Related agent will participate in the 2020 Hearthstone AI competition

Next challenges:

- detect the deck archetype in play and predict the opponent's deck
- apply stream-mining to document changes in the metagame
- automatic documentation on the effectiveness of balance changes



Thank you for your attention!

Interested in trying it yourself? Download the Code to this paper on Github <u>https://github.com/ADockhorn/FuzzyDeckClustering</u>

> or check out our Hearthstone AI Competition at: <u>http://www.is.ovgu.de/Research/HearthstoneAI.html</u>







by <u>Alexander Dockhorn</u>, Tony Schwensfeier, and Rudolf Kruse Email: {<u>alexander.dockhorn</u>, tony.schwensfeier, rudolf.kruse}@ovgu.de